MEETINGS TO DATE 2 NO. OF REGULARS 1 NO. OF SPECIALS 1

LANCASTER, NEW YORK JANUARY 6, 1993

A joint meeting of the Town Board and the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, New York, was held at the Lancaster Town Hall, 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York on the 6th day of January, 1993, at 7:00 P.M. and there were

PRESENT:

LUCIAN J. GRECO, SUPERVISOR

NB-1

ROBERT H. GIZA, COUNCILMAN

NB-2

DONALD E. KWAK, COUNCILMAN

PATRICK C. POKORSKI, COUNCILMAN

THOMAS H. VAN NORTWICK, COUNCILMAN NB-3 GEORGE E. O'NEIL, PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN

JOHN P. GOBER, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

JOHNSTON N. REID, JR., PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

MARTIN MEMMINGER, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

DONNA G. STEMPNIAK, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

MELVIN H. SZYMANSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

MILDRED F. WHITTAKER, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

NB-1 - Excused at 7:30 P.M. to attend ECSD#4 meeting

NB-2 - Excused at 7:45 P.M. to attend ECSD#4 meeting

NB-3 - Acted as Chairman in the absence of Supervisor Greco at 7:45 P.M.

ABSENT:

NONE

ALSO PRESENT: ROBERT P. THILL, TOWN CLERK

JOSEPH F. REINA, ATTORNEY

ROBERT L. LANEY, BUILDING INSPECTOR ROBERT H. LABENSKI, TOWN ENGINEER

PURPOSE OF MEETING:

This joint meeting of the Town Board and Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster was held for the purpose of acting as a Municipal Review Committee for a State Environmental Quality Review of two actions.

IN THE MATTER OF THE SECR REVIEW OF THE PROPOSED FAIRWAY HILLS PLANNED COMMUNITY

The joint board then proceeded with the Environmental Assessment on the proposed Fairway Hills Planned Community matter with an item for item review and discussion of the project impact and magnitude as outlined on a Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 which was provided to each member.

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED BY ITANNING BOARD MEMBER OF STEMPHIAK WHO MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY COUNCILMAN KWAK, TO WIT:

RESOLVED, that the following Positive Declaration be adopted:

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION PROPOSED FAIRWAY HILLS PLANNED COMMUNITY POSITIVE DECLARATION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the Town of Lancaster, acting as the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act, has reviewed the following described proposed action, which is a Type 1 action, through its designated Municipal Review Committee, and that committee having found large significant environmental impacts, the lead agency, now issues a Positive Declaration for the purposes of Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY

Town of Lancaster
21 Central Avenue
Lancaster, New York 14086
Joseph F. Reina, Town Attorney
716-684-3342

NATURE, EXTENT AND LOCATION OF ACTION:

The proposed development is of a parcel involving approximately 227 acres. The location of the premises being reviewed is on the south side of William Street east of Bowen Road.

The lead agency, the Town of Lancaster, through the review of the Municipal Review Committee, which is made up of at least three (3) members of the Town Board of the Town of Lancaster together with at least three (3) members of the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, has found the proposed action impacts to be as follows:

- 1. The proposed action will have a small to moderate impact as a physical change to the project site.
- 2. The proposed action will not effect any unique or unusual land forms found on the site.
- 3. The proposed action will not affect any water body designated as protected.
- 4. The proposed action will have a small to moderate impact on non-protected existing or new body of water.
- 5. The proposed action will have a large impact on surface or ground water quality or quantity.

- 6. The proposed action will not alter drainage flow patterns or strince water runoff.
- 7. The proposed action will not affect air quality.
- 8. The proposed action will have a small to moderate impact on threatened or endangered species. DEC letter of January 6, 1993 notes northern brook lamprey exists in the vicinity of the project site.
- 9. The proposed action will not substantially affect non-threatened or endangered species.
- 10. The proposed action will have a large impact on agricultural land resources.
- 11. The proposed action will have a large impact on aesthetic resources.
- 12. The proposed action will not impact any site or structure of historic, pre-historic or paleontogical importance.
- 13. The proposed action will not affect the quantity or quality of existing or future open spaces or recreational opportunities.
- 14. The proposed action will have a large impact on existing transportation systems.
- 15. The proposed action will have a small to moderate impact on the community's sources of fuel or energy supply.
- 16. There will be some small to moderate objectional odors, noise, or vibration as a result of this proposed action during construction only.
- 17. The proposed action will not affect public health and safety.
- 18. The proposed action will have a large impact on the character of the existing community. Town population will grow about 4%.
- 19. There is likely to be, public controversy related to potential adverse environmental impacts.

Lucian J. Greco, Supervisor Town of Lancaster

January 6, 1993 and,

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Supervisor of the Town of Lancaster be and is hereby authorized to execute a "Positive Declaration" Notice of Intent to Prepare a Draft EIS Determination of Significance in this matter, and

BE IT FURTHER

"Positive Declaration" Notice of Intent to Prepare a Draft EIS Determination of Significance in this matter with the petitioner and with all required New York State and Erie County agencies, filing a copy of the letter of transmittal and "Positive Declaration" with the Town Clerk.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Notice of Determination was duly put to a vote on roll call which resulted an follows:

SUPERVISOR GRECO	VOIED	YES
COUNCILMAN GIZA	WAS A	SEN
COUNCILMAN KWAK	VOIED	
COUNCILMAN POKORSKI	VOTED	YES
COUNCILMAN VAN NORTWICK	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD CHAIR. O'NEIL	VOIED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER	VOIED	YES
	VOTED	
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MEMMINGER		
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER STEMPNIAK	WIED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER WHITTAKER	VOTED	YES

The Notice of Determination was thereupon unanimously adopted. January 6, 1993

IN THE MATTER OF THE SEOR REVIEW OF THE ALCO PLASTICS SITE PLAN REVIEW

The joint boards proceeded with the short Environmental Assessment Form on the Alco Plastics Site Plan Review matter with an item for item review and discussion of the project impact and magnitude as outlined on the Short Environmental Assessment Form, entitled "Part II Environmental Assessment", which was provided to each member.

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED BY PLANNING BOARD MEMBER STEMPNIAK WHO MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY COUNCILMAN POKORSKI, TO WIT:

RESOLVED, that the following Negative Declaration be adopted.

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION: ALCO PLASTICS SITE PLAN REVIEW NEGATIVE DECLARATION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the Town of Lancaster, acting as the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act, has reviewed the following described proposed action, which is an unlisted action,

through its designated Municipal Review Committee, and that committee having found no significant environmental impact, the lead agency, now issues a Negative Declaration for the purposes of Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law.

and address of lead agenc

Town of Lancaster 21 Central Avenue Lancaster, New York 14086 Joseph F. Reina, Town Attorney 716-684-3342

NATURE, EXTENT AND LOCATION OF ACTION:

The location of the premises being reviewed is at the south end of Enterprise Drive on the east side.

REASONS SUPPORTING DETERMINATION

The lead agency, the Town of Lancaster, through the review of the Municipal Review Committee, which is made up of at least three (3) members of the Town Board of the Town of Lancaster together with at least three (3) members of the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, has found, in their item for item completion of the Short Environmental Assessment Form on this proposed action as follows:

- The action does not exceed any type 1 threshold in 6 NYCRR, Part 617.12.
- The action will receive coordinated review as provided for unlisted actions in 6 NYCRR, Part 617.6.
- C. The proposed action will not result in any adverse effects associated with the following: (except as noted)
- C.1 Existing air quality, surface or groundwater quality or quantity, noise levels, existing traffic patterns, solid waste production or disposal, potential for erosion, drainage or flooding problems.

No adverse effects noted

Aesthetic, agricultural, archaeological, historic, or other natural or C.2 cultural resources; or community or neighborhood character.

No adverse effects noted

C.3 Vegetation or fauna, fish, shellfish or wildlife species significant habitats, or threatened or endangered species.

No adverse effects noted in

C.4 A community's existing plans or goals as officially adopted, or a change in use or intensity of use of land or other natural resources.

No adverse effects noted

C.5 Growth, subsequent development, or related activities likely to be induced by the proposed action.

No adverse effects noted

C.6 Long term, short term, cumulative, or other effects not identified in C1-C5.

No adverse effects noted

C.7 Other impacts (including changes in use of either quantity or type of energy.

No adverse effects noted

D. There is not, nor is there likely to be, controversy related to potential adverse environmental impacts.

Town of Lancaster

January 6, 1993 and,

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Supervisor of the Town of Lancaster be and is hereby authorized to execute a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter, and and,

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Town Attorney's Office prepare and file a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter with the petitioner and with all required New York State and Erie County agencies, filing a copy of the letter of transmittal and "Negative Declaration" with the Town Clerk.

age 61

was duly put to a vote on roll call which resulted as follows:

7 7 7		
SUPERVISOR GRECO	WAS A	SEATT
COUNCILMAN GIZA	WAS A	BSENT
COUNCILMAN KWAK	VOTED	YES
COUNCILMAN PORORSKI	WOITD	YES
COUNCILMAN VAN NORTWICK	VOIED	YES
PLANNING BOARD CHAIR. O'NEIL	VOISD	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER REID	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MEMMINGER	VOIED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER STEMPNIAK	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOIED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER WHITTAKER	VOTED	YES

The Notice of Determination was thereupon unanimously adopted.

January 6, 1993

ADJURAMENT:

ON MOTION DULY MADE, SECONDED AND CARRIED, this meeting was adjourned at 7:55 P.M.:

Robert P. Thill, Town Clerk